

1 LOEB & LOEB LLP
2 MICHELLE LA MAR (State Bar No. 163038)
mlamar@loeb.com
3 BENJAMIN R. KING (State Bar No. 205447)
bking@loeb.com
4 JON DARYANANI (State Bar No. 205149)
jdaryanani@loeb.com
5 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 2200
Los Angeles, California 90067-4120
Telephone: 310-282-2000
Facsimile: 310-282-2200

7 KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP
8 WILLIAM A. ESCOBAR (Admitted, *Pro Hac Vice*)
wescobar@kelleydrye.com
9 ROBERT I. STEINER (Admitted, *Pro Hac Vice*)
rsteiner@kelleydrye.com
10 KEVIN J. SMITH (Admitted, *Pro Hac Vice*)
ksmith@kelleydrye.com
11 101 Park Avenue
12 New York, New York 10178
Telephone: (212) 808-7800
Facsimile: (212) 808-7897

13 Attorneys for Defendants
14 TATA AMERICA INTERNATIONAL
CORPORATION, TATA CONSULTANCY
SERVICES, LTD. and TATA SONS, LTD.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

19 GOPI VEDACHALAM and KANGANA) Case No. 06 CIV 963 (VRW)
BERI, on behalf of themselves and all others)
similarly situated,)
20)
21 Plaintiffs,)
22 v.)
23)
24 TATA AMERICA INTERNATIONAL)
CORPORATION, a New York corporation;)
25 TATA CONSULTANCY SERVICES, LTD.,)
an Indian corporation; and TATA SONS,)
LTD., an Indian corporation,)
26)
Defendants.)

1 Pursuant to Rule 6-1 of this Court's Civil Local Rules, Plaintiffs Gopi Vedachalam and
2 Kangana Beri, and defendants Tata America International Corporation, Tata Consultancy
3 Services, Ltd. and Tata Sons, Ltd. (collectively "Defendants"), by and amongst themselves, hereby
4 stipulate as follows:

5 Defendants' time to respond to the First Amended Complaint in the above-captioned
6 matter shall be extended from July 14, 2006 until ten (10) days after the Court issues and enters on
7 the Court's docket a decision and order with respect to Defendants' motion to compel arbitration
8 of Gopi Vedachalam's claims, currently pending before the Court. Defendants received one prior
9 ///

10 ///

11 ///

12 ///

13 ///

14 ///

15 ///

16 ///

17 ///

18 ///

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 extension of their time to respond to the First Amended Complaint, from June 15, 2006 to July 14,
2 2006.

3 Dated: July 12, 2006

LOEB & LOEB LLP

4

5

By: /s/ Michelle M. La Mar

6 Michelle M. La Mar
7 Attorneys for Defendants
8 TATA AMERICA INTERNATIONAL
9 CORPORATION; TATA CONSULTANCY
SERVICES, LTD.; and TATA SONS, LTD

10 Dated: July 12, 2006

KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP

11

12

By: /s/ Kevin J. Smith

13 Kevin J. Smith
14 Attorneys for Defendants
15 TATA AMERICA INTERNATIONAL
16 CORPORATION; TATA CONSULTANCY
SERVICES, LTD.; and TATA SONS, LTD

17 Dated: July 12, 2006

LEIFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN &
BERNSTEIN, LLP

18

19

20

By: /s/ Steven M. Tindall

21 Steven M. Tindall
22 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
23 GOPI VEDACHALAM and KANGANA
BERI, on behalf of themselves and others
similarly situated

24

IT IS SO ORDERED.

25

26

27

28

Dated: 7/13/06



UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE